




inapplicability of the CAFA exceptions, namely the existence of other similar class actions<sup>1</sup> and the fact that the current record does not necessitate the inference that two-thirds of the putative class are Georgia citizens, the Court finds that Defendants have met their prima facie burden for removal to this Court. *Lowery v. Alabama Power Co.*, 483 F.3d 1184, 1213 (11th Cir. 2007).<sup>2</sup>

Accordingly, the Show Cause Order [Doc. 104] is **DISCHARGED**, and this case may proceed as normal in this Court. Notwithstanding the Court's February 12, 2020 Order, Defendants who have not yet filed an answer or response to the Complaint are **DIRECTED** to file an Answer on or before Monday, April 20, 2020.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant Shaw Industries Inc.'s Conditional Motion to Transfer Venue [Doc. 88] is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 10th day of April, 2020.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Amy Totenberg**  
**United States District Judge**

---

<sup>1</sup> See, e.g., *Banks v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.*, No. 19-cv-1672 (D. Del.); *Henry v. Wolverine World Wide, Inc.*, No. 19-cv-379 (W.D. Mich.); *Johns v. Wolverine World Wide, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-1302 (W.D. Mich.); *Dykehouse v. Ga. Pac. LLC*, No. 18-cv-1225 (W.D. Mich.); *Zimmerman v. 3M Co.*, No. 17-cv-1062 (W.D. Mich.); *King v. W. Morgan-E. Lawrence Water & Sewer Auth.*, No. 17-cv-1833 (N.D. Ala.); *In re: Aqueous Film-Forming Foams Prods. Liab. Litig.*, No. 2:18-mn-2873 (D.S.C.); *Hardwick v. 3M Co.*, No. 18-cv-1185 (S.D. Ohio).

<sup>2</sup> The Court also agrees that neither of the abstention doctrines sufficiently fit the facts of this case.